

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Parent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot 1451 Alexandra, Vignia 2233-1450 viewwaphogo

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/913,902                            | 01/23/2002      | Colin Ramshaw        | A01204US            | 7376             |
| 22920                                 | 7590 06-09/2004 |                      | EXAM                | IINER            |
| GARVEY SMITH NEHRBASS & DOODY, LLC    |                 |                      | MAYEKAR, KISHOR     |                  |
|                                       | CEWAY CENTER    |                      |                     |                  |
| 3838 NORTH CAUSEWAY BLVD., SUITE 3290 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| METAIRIE LA 70002                     |                 |                      | 1753                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ,   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   | 09/913,902   | RAMSHAW ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Kishor Mayekar   | 1753   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | opears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rer - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory en Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | . 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin<br>ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>the, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18   | March 2004 and 12 April 2004.  |  |
| ·—  | is action is non-final.  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow   |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.   |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application  | n.   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 37 and 38 is/are wi   | thdrawn from consideration.  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-36</u> is/are allowed.   | •  |  |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | or election requirement.   |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir   | ner.   |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 3/18/04 is/are: a)□ a  | accepted or b) objected to by the  | Examiner.  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the f   | Examiner. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  |  | )-(d) or (f).  |
| Certified copies of the priority document   |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri  | - ·  | ed in this National Stage  |
| application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  | •  | ed.  |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a like  | of the certified copies not receive  | su.  |
| <b>.</b>  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail D   | ate  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0  | 8) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F<br>6) ☐ Other:  | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) 🗀 Ouler   |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/913,902

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 37-38 are directed to an invention that is

independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following

reasons: New claims 37-38 are directed to a reactor apparatus which are

different from original claimed reactor apparatus in that they have different

effects as the original claims recite an undercut trough upon which an annular film

is formed and the new claims 37-38 does not.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally

presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original

presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 37-38 are

withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37

CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/913,902 Page 3

Art Unit: 1753

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action

can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over UMETSU (4,627,803). The reference is applied for the same reasons as of

record. The further difference between the reference and the claims are the

intended use of the apparatus. The subject matter as a whole would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

have modified the reference's teachings because it has been held on the intended

use of a device that "apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device

does", Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ 2d 1525.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. New claims 34-36 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Because the claims have the recited structures of the plurality of support elements and a

processing unit in combination with other recited structures as claimed in claims 34-35; and the recited structures of a rotary impeller in combination with other recited structures as claimed in claim 36.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 18, 2004 and April 12, 2004 to claims 1 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the rejections as set forth in the paragraph above.

## Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,902

Art Unit: 1753

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax

Application/Control Number: 09/913,902

Art Unit: 1753

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753